

RFP N00039-16-R-0012 Q&A 5 July 2016

Questions	Reference	Govt Response
<p>. Request removal of the Mandatory Requirement provision of test documentation (e.g. First Article Test report) or Government certifications demonstrating that the PCDL subsystem meets the requirements (listed in Section L-317.7), which are due with the proposal submittal on 1 August 2016.</p>		<p>The Mandatory Requirements remain the same. If the offeror has existing test documentation to satisfy the testing requirements, the offeror shall submit it with its proposal.</p>
<p>. The SPS and RFP are clear on the return link range and data rate requirements, but are unclear on the forward link data rate and range requirements. Implementing a fully symmetric link at 274 Mbps at 150 nm will impact cost, complexity, reliability and power consumption to achieve the required transmit EIRP. It is requested that the Government provide additional clarification of the forward link threshold data rate and range.</p>		<p>The SPS has been clarified regarding the downlink/return link data rate and range, with 44.73 Mbps as the threshold value and 274 Mbps as the objective value. Range requirements remain the same for both at 150NM.</p>
<p>Q: [REF: RFP, Section L317.7, page 72]Does the below language regarding test data required for mandatory requirements require all components be tested as a complete system, or, may test data be provided for individual assemblies (e.g., antenna, antenna controller, modem, etc.)?</p>	<p>In the event that a proposal contains a deficiency in the following mandatory areas, the proposal SHALL be determined to be unacceptable and not considered for further evaluation. Offerors shall provide test documentation (e.g. First Article Test report) or Government certifications demonstrating that its offered PCDL subsystem meets the below requirements: ...</p>	<p>For the mandatory requirements, test data may be provided at the individual assembly level. Complete end-end system test data is considered low risk.</p>
<p>Q: [Ref: SPS, 16 June 2016, Version 1.1, Para 4.10.9, pp 28] Shock: The MIL-S-901D and NAVSEAINST 9072.1a tests for shipboard shock refers to Hammer/Barge shock testing for shipboard equipment. Does this requirement apply to the PCDL system? If so, on what ships will the equipment be deployed, in what mounting locations, and what mounting point provisions will be available to attached the equipment to each ship type?</p>		<p>The PCDL system is not expected to be deployed aboard ship, but is expected to used in an expeditionary environment. The Government has updated the reference to MIL-STD-810G, DoD Test Method Standard: Environmental Engineering Considerations and Laboratory Tests, 31 October 2008.</p>
<p>Q: [Ref: SPS, 16 June 2016, Version 1.1, Para 4.10.10, pp 28]Vibration: System Performance Specification section 4.10.10, "Vibration", refers to vibration testing for shipboard equipment. Does this requirement apply to the PCDL system? If so, can provision be made to provide vibration isolation in the mounting between the PCDL hardware and the ship?</p>		<p>The PCDL system is not expected to be deployed aboard ship, but is expected to used in an expeditionary environment. The Government has updated the reference to MIL-STD-810G, DoD Test Method Standard: Environmental Engineering Considerations and Laboratory Tests, 31 October 2008.</p>

request a 2-week extension to the submittal date depending on the posted answer		The Government will extend the submittal date from 1 August to 23 August.
On page 76 of 92 of Section L, the Government states, "If CPARS is not available, complete and provide Attachment 6, Past Performance Questionnaire for each experience". The statement does not clarify if the Contractor or the Government is responsible to send to the identified Past Performance candidate to either the Contracting Officer or Program Manager of the selected program. Further, Attachment 6 – Past Performance Questionnaire / Survey, it is not clear of the intent if the Government will send this letter or the Contractor is required as part of the proposal preparation. Please clarify if the Government or the Contractor is responsible to submit the survey and by what date do the surveys need to be sent?		Either the Contractor or Government may submit the Attachment 6. Ultimately it is the responsibility of the offeror to ensure that all submissions are submitted for evaluation purposes.
It is requested that SPAWAR modify the contract CLIN structure to include an NRE CLIN or restructure the SPS and SOW to remove Section 5.0 in its entirety and CDRLs A001, A006, A008, A009, A012, A015 from the SOW.		NRE shall be proposed as part of FFP CLIN (X001).
It is requested that the technical volume page limit be increased to 15 pages.		The Government agrees, see Amendment 0001.
In the Cost Volume section, on page 82 of 92, in the discussion of the billing of subcontractor fee, (towards the bottom of the page) mention is made of an "incentive fee pool." Are there CPIF sections of this contract?		No CPIF, see Amendment 0001.