

Question and Answer for MDA BAA
N00039-08-X-0001
22 January 2008

1. The answer to question 107 of the recently answered questions submitted to SPAWAR as part of the above referenced solicitation is unclear.

107. Spiral 2 Implementation. Contract award date assumption stated in BAA is 26 May 2008 with the resulting capabilities to be deliverable to the Government in FY2009 with the intention of extending the MDA capabilities to the all of the numbered fleets. For this extension to the numbered fleets, what quantities of materials (e.g. how many ships and / or shore installations should be considered) and what dates within FY 2009 should contractors use in planning and pricing?

Answer: Quantities of materials and specific installation dates have been established at this time.

Are the quantities and schedule for implementation available to providers? If so may we get them?

Answer: No. There was an error in the answer it should have stated, "Quantities of materials and specific installation dates have NOT been established at this time."

2. Within the document titled "Question and Answer for MDA BAA N00039-08-X-0001" dated 18 Jan 2008, Answer #24 defines the term "applicant" in the context of the imposed limitation of a single paper per topic per applicant (reference BAA Section V, Paragraph 2). Answer # 24 states, in part, that "an applicant is a company, business unit of a company, subsidiary of a company, or division of a company". Unfortunately, there is no absolute definition of the terms "company", "business unit", or "division", or any standardized use of these terms among corporations. In our corporate organizational structure, a company is a separately incorporated legal entity comprised of multiple business units, which in turn are comprised of multiple divisions. Thus, we have interpreted Answer # 24 to allow a single legal entity (or "Company") to submit more than one proposal per topic, but no more than one per division, provided we "identify the business unit, subsidiary, or division". Is this interpretation correct?

Answer: Yes, this is a correct interpretation.