

**N00039-12-R-0004  
Questions & Answers**

#	Reference	Question	Answer/Resolution
1	Answer Posted 1 Nov	Is there a dead line date for questions to be submitted? Your guidance will be greatly appreciated.	There is not a deadline date to submit questions. Note that questions submitted close the proposal due date may or may not be answered by the Government. Submitting questions close to the proposal due date may not result in an extension of the proposal due date.
2	Answer posted 1 Nov	The references to Appendices A through G appeared in all previous draft versions of Attachment 3 but have now been deleted from the final version of Attachment 3. Appendices A through G are still relevant and are required to design, build, and interface the MIDS on Ship Modernization terminal. They defined the existing shipboard interfaces.	The MOS Lot 4 SPS and Appendices A through G are provided for reference only. These documents do not impose requirements for the design, build, and integration of the MOS Mod terminal. The MOS Lot 4 SPS and its appendices will be provided as Government Furnished Information with Amendment 0001 to be issued shortly.
3	Answer Posted 1 Nov	Who is incumbent?	As this is a new procurement, there is no incumbent. However, Data Link Solutions, LLC provides the current MOS configuration.
4	Answer Posted 1 Nov	Due to the effects of Hurricane Sandy, can the proposal due date be extended by two weeks?	Yes; the proposal due will be extended to 13 Dec 2012 via a RFP amendment to be issued shortly.
5		The System Performance Specification in section Paragraph 3.6.1.3.2 implies EMI shielding for the rear access panel of the SCA which suggests that the SCA needs to be EMI shielded or rather that the system as a whole must pass EMC/EMI requirements given the EMC/EMI shielding that the individual components of the system possess?	Under Review
6		Does the top paragraph of page 95 of the RFP mean to indicate that the graphics are allowed in the technical volume of the MOS Mod proposal?	Graphics are allowed in technical proposal. The stated page limitations will remain. This will be reflected in Amendment 0002 to be issued shortly.

**N00039-12-R-0004**  
**Questions & Answers**

#	Reference	Question	Answer/Resolution
7		The MOS Modernization SPS Paragraph 3.6.38.1.1 indicates different storage temperatures for MoS Mod as compared to COTS equipment. Is that to suggest that COTS components of the MOS Modernization do not need to meet the MOS Mod storage temperature requirements? Does this suggest that LRUs are to be environmentally tested individually?	Under Review
8		Low temperature storage of – 62 deg C appears to be lower than the low temperature storage requirements of the GFP MIDS LVT4 and MIDS JTRS. Does the Government intend to test the GFP to lower temperatures than they have already been tested?	Under Review
9		Which vibration table from MIL-STD-810 does the Government expect to be used for the testing specified in Paragraphs 3.11.5.10.1 (Operating Vibration) and 3.11.5.10.2 (Non-operating Vibration)?	Under Review
10		In Attachment 9 Prime Pricing Model, Supporting Cost Data tab, historical direct labor rates for 3 years including current year is requested as well as direct labor rates for the proposed base period through proposed Option 5 period. If an offeror has a FPRA on direct labor rates covering 2012 - 2016, are historical rates still required?	Yes. Historical rates are still required.
11		In Attachment 9 Prime Pricing Model, Supporting Cost Data tab, the base period covers multiple years and our direct labor rates are determined by calendar year. In this case, are blended direct labor rates acceptable? If not, then we will have to add columns to the Pricing Model Excel file.	The supporting cost data tab will be updated to reflect a three year base period. Revised Attachment 9 will be included via Amendment 0002 to be issued shortly.
12		Will Direct Labor Rates by Labor Category (Bid Code) instead of by specific employee be sufficient? Per our Direct Labor FPRA, our rates are an average by Labor Category (Bid Code).	Yes.

**N00039-12-R-0004**  
**Questions & Answers**

#	Reference	Question	Answer/Resolution
13		For the CLIN 0100, can we assume that Attachment 10 Subcontractor Pricing Model is only required for those Subcontracts which exceed the TINA requirement of \$700K?	<p>No.  See RFP pages 99-100, 2.2 VOLUME II, COST/PRICE, paragraph 3, Subcontractor Costs. "If the prime has a DCMA approved Purchasing System, the cost proposal shall contain documentation of the prime's evaluation of the sub's cost/technical proposal. If the prime does not have an approved Purchasing System, or does not submit the aforementioned evaluation, a fully-disclosed cost proposal shall be submitted for each subcontractor proposed by the prime."</p> <p>If applicable, the subcontractor's cost proposal shall be submitted via Attachment 10.</p>
14		For the CLIN 0100, the Government is requesting Level 3 BOE's. Since this is a competitively awarded FPIF CLIN, and cost realism is not being determined for this CLIN, why is the Government asking for this detail?	As stated in page 107 of the RFP, "the Government will evaluate the extent to the which the proposed cost, labor hours and skill mix for CLIN 0100, 0101, 0102 is realistic to the work being performed." CWBS Level 3 BOE's are required.
15		Section B for CLIN 0200, 1100, 2100, 3100, 4100 & 5100 asks for a Unit Price. What is the Government's definition of a Unit Price? Is it an average price for the max quantity or is it a price for the 1st unit of that lot?	The FFP Unit price shall represent the price of one unit purchased individually.
16		Section B, Table B-1, what is the Governments definition of "base Price" in relationship to the values entered into CLINS 0200, 1100, 2100, 3100, 4100 & 5100?	The base price is the price for one unit purchased individually. A discount would be applied to this unit price (if a discount is proposed). If no discounts are proposed for economic purchase quantities then the tables would list 0%.
17		Referring to Attachment 9, LRIP and Production Units tab, what is the Governments definition of "FFP Unit Price" in relationship to the values entered into Section B CLINS 0200, 1100, 2100, 3100, 4100 & 5100 and the values entered in Table B-1?	As stated in the worksheet, the FFP Unit price shall represent the price of one unit purchased individually.

N00039-12-R-0004

Version Date: 8 November 2012

Page 3 of 7

**N00039-12-R-0004**  
**Questions & Answers**

#	Reference	Question	Answer/Resolution
18		Request that DFAR 252.219-7004 be added to be able to supply the BAE Systems Small Business comprehensive plan	Yes. The clause will be added to the solicitation via Amendment 0002.
19		RFP Page 94, Section L paragraph 1.1.3 states in part, "Electronic proposals shall be prepared so that, if printed, the proposal meets the following format requirements: 8.5 x 11 inch paper; single-spaced typed lines; 1 inch margins; ..." Are headers and footers permitted in the top and bottom 1-inch margin areas for company identification, proposal identification, page numbers, and data rights notices?	Headers and footers are permitted in the top and bottom of the 1-inch margin areas. The stated page limitations will remain. This will be reflected in Amendment 0002.
20		5252.232-9210 LIMITATION OF LIABILITY--INCREMENTAL FUNDING (JAN 1992) - Please confirm that this clause only pertains to the Cost Plus CLINS.	Under Review
21		The first paragraph states in part, "no hyperlinks, graphics, or pictures are allowed." Are document internal links for table of contents and table references excluded?	Yes. This change will be reflected in Amendment 0002.
22		The first paragraph states in part, "no hyperlinks, graphics, or pictures are allowed." The RFP also states "proposals should be clear, concise, and complete." So that we can provide a clear, concise, and complete proposal response, will the Government allow the use of graphics and pictures in the response?	The Government will allow the use of graphics and pictures. The stated page limitations remain. This change will be reflected in Amendment 0002.
23		The MDEMO requirement is a shall with no 'Option' in the PMW 150 MOS MOD IDIQ BASIC CONTRACT SOW section 4.3.2.5 Maintainability Demonstration (MDEMO). This seems to contradict the comparable section of the PMW 150 MOS MOD PMP SOW where MDEMO is associated with Option CLINs 0101 and 0102. Please provide clarification on whether the MDEMO called out in PMW 150 MOS MOD IDIQ BASIC CONTRACT SOW should be considered an Option.	Under Review
24		What is the total estimated value of the contract?	The total estimated value of the contract will be the price proposed by the successful offeror.

**N00039-12-R-0004**  
**Questions & Answers**

#	Reference	Question	Answer/Resolution
25		When is CLIN 200 expected to be awarded relative to the delivery of CLIN 100 EMD units?	Under Review
26		Does the Government expect three EDMs to be delivered in addition to one EDM that will be potentially damaged and not refurbished due to MIL-STD-901D testing? In other words, is it two remaining units plus the 901D tested unit or is it 3 full up units in addition to the 901D tested unit?	Under Review
27		Does the Government expect that systems that have been subjected to Fungus and Salt Fog be refurbished? Will the Government allow for GFP supporting such tests to be separated from the MoS Mod system during test by extender cables to avoid exposure?	Under Review
28		Does the Government expect that systems that have been subjected to Sand & Dust Test Method 510.5 be refurbished? Further, given the location of the MoS Mod system in a shipboard enclosed space, is test method 510.5 really expected? This testing does not seem appropriate for the expected environmental conditions. If such testing is required, will the Government allow for GFP supporting such tests to be separated from the MoS Mod system during test by extender cables to avoid exposure?	Under Review
29	Exhibit A; CDRL's	IAW FAR the Government has a "reasonable" amount of time to respond to CDRL submissions by the Contractor. There are several CDRL items that could have detrimental impacts to the overall program schedule, as well as cost, depending on what the Government defines as reasonable. We would like to request that the Government define the review cycle time per CDRL so that thorough execution plan can be created	Under Review
30	SOW	Section 3.6.38.7.1 states the Storage Temperature shall be -62 degrees Celsius to 71 degrees Celsius. Industrial Grade COTS components typically have storage temperatures ranging from -20 degrees Celsius to 70 degrees Celsius. Can this requirement be changed to reflect Industrial Grade COTS components due to the unavailability and higher associated costs typically found in MIL Grade components?	Under Review

N00039-12-R-0004

Version Date: 8 November 2012

Page 5 of 7

**N00039-12-R-0004**  
**Questions & Answers**

#	Reference	Question	Answer/Resolution
31	SOW	Section 3.10.3.1 states "De-rating criteria shall be in accordance with ESD-TR-85-148, with the exception of tantalum capacitors." Can this requirement be modified to just reflect the reliability design practices as stated in Section 3.2 since COTS components do not conform to this standard thus resulting in an increased cost of developing MIL grade component?	Under Review
32	SOW	For Start-up BIT in Section 3.10.4.1, a 15 second SBIT is required. Currently, the GFE terminal does not support this threshold. Can this requirement be modified to have the SBIT threshold time include the GFE terminal SBIT time as part of the MOS System?	Under Review
33	SOW	Section 3.6.14.1 specifies a maximum power of 1,000W + 1dB on the Terminal, can this be modified to a +/- range versus max range only?	Under Review
34	SOW	Section 3.10.3 specifies MTBF numbers depending on specific conditions, are these numbers inclusive of the GFE Terminal?	Under Review
35	SOW	Section 3.6.16.1 states the Primary Power Interface for the MOS Mod System. Can you identify the Secondary Power Interface and its interface requirements?	Under Review
36	SOW	Section 3.6.16.1 states the Primary Power Interface for the MOS Mod System. Knowing that there are 2 provided power inputs from the host, can we use only one of the power inputs? If so, what is the maximum draw we can extract from that line?	Under Review
37	RFP Section B / F	We understand that it is anticipated that Potential Task Order 0001 will order the entirety of CLINs 0100, 0101 and 0102 as denoted in Section L (page 100) of the solicitation. We further understand that CLIN 0100 has a required delivery date of 18 months ADOA per Section F-2(a). What is the Government's anticipated delivery dates for CLINs 0101 and 0102?	Under Review

**N00039-12-R-0004  
Questions & Answers**

#	Reference	Question	Answer/Resolution
38		<p>Historically, Contract similar in nature has always provided the FOB as Origin. This RFP however, defines the FOB as “Destination”.</p> <p>Please provide clarification and guidance as to the Governments position with regards to “Destination” rather than “Origin”.</p> <p>Please note that Section E-1 has INITIAL INSPECTION (ORIGIN) AND FINAL INSPECTION (DESTINATION). Paragraphs within the Schedule and SOW indicate final inspection and acceptance at destination: F-2: Delivery or Performance Note 2. IDIQ SOW paragraph 4.6.1.3. Please define inspection and acceptance for non-data item deliverables.</p> <p>DLS would prefer inspection and acceptance at origin.</p>	Under Review
39	Section B page 12 / Clause 2252.216-9205 (h)	Please clarify that the fixed fee can be billed up to 85% of the total fee, and that the 15% withholding does not apply to every fee payment. Accordingly, the withhold does not apply until the 85% is reached.	Under Review
40	Section H Page 41 / Clause 5252.232-9206	Please clarify; Segregation of Costs does this mean that the lowest level of costs is at the Task Order/Delivery Order level?	No. The lowest level of cost segregation is at the Task Order CLIN/SLIN/ACRN level. If multiple lines of accounting are present within a task order the invoices shall only cite the ACRN that corresponds to the work invoiced.
41	Section H Page 35 / Clause 5252.227-9206	The cited Patents FAR/DFAR clauses are not included in Section I of the solicitation. Should this clause therefore be deleted?	No. DFARS Clause 252.227-7038 is included in the solicitation by reference in Section I. See page 48.

*This list includes all questions that the Government has received. Unanswered questions are staffed for resolution. Answers will be posted asap.*