

	<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>
1	<p>Page 56 of 76, states, " Note: Pages shall be double-spaced, single-sided using no smaller than font size 12." Page 59 it states, "These resumes shall be submitted in addition to the maximum of 100 pages submitted by the offeror, but will be limited to three (3) pages, double-spaced, single-sided using no smaller than font size 12, including the Letter of Intent." In order to sufficiently respond to the requirements in the RFP, would the government consider allowing the technical volume to be single spaced on 100 pages or double spaced on 200 pages? Also, would the government consider allowing single spaced resumes and/or excluding the Letter of Intent in the resume page count?</p>	<p>Proposals shall conform to the format specified in the RFP. The Government will not consider single-spaced Technical Volume or resumes (including Letters of Intent); nor will the Government accept documents exceeding the page limit specified in the RFP.</p>
2	<p>Page 20 of the PWS it states, "The Program Manager shall have a minimum of five (5) years program management experience and a bachelor's degree in business or finance." Page 64 of the RFP it states, "Minimum of five (5) years management experience and a bachelors degree in business or finance or equivalent degree." Please clarify if the RFP is correct and an "equivalent degree" is acceptable.</p>	<p>"Equivalent degree" will not be considered. Please see Amendment 1 for updated personnel qualification requirements.</p>
3	<p>Page 26 of the RFP, Section I – Contract Clauses includes FAR clause 52.204-4 Printed or Copied Double-sided on Recycled Paper. Page 52 of the RFP, paragraph L-349 specifies electronic submission and page 56 of the RFP, the Note half-way down the page states, "Pages shall be double-spaced, single-sided..." Please clarify that this is only an electronic submission, no hard copies required and the electronic document is single-sided.</p>	<p>Only single-sided electronic submission is required.</p>
4	<p>Page 56 of the RFP in the Table under Volume I states, "6 Three-Page Resumes (including Letter of Intent). The RFP does not clarify when "Letters of Intent" are required. Are letters of intent required for current employees of the company? If so, will a signed certification statement on the resume suffice?</p>	<p>Letters are intent are not required for current employees. They are required for individuals who have agreed to work for the offeror if the offeror is awarded the contract.</p>
5	<p>Page 56-57 of the RFP under the paragraph "Proprietary Data Protection Agreements (PDPAs) states, "Offerors are encouraged to execute proprietary data protection agreements with these support contactors." Please provide POCs for The Analytical Sciences Corporation (TASC) and CACI to expedite execution of the PDPA/Nondisclosure Agreements (NDAs).</p>	<p>Please email Selene Cong at selene.cong@navy.mil for CACI and TASC POCs.</p>

6	Page 59 of the RFP has the PWS reference for IUSS Administrative Services Network Administrator as PWS 3.5.5, but on page 21 of the PWS, the IUSS Administrative Services Network Administrator is paragraph 3.5.6. Please clarify to ensure references in the submitted proposal are correct.	RFP will be revised to reflect the correct PWS reference of 3.5.6.
7	Page 59 of the RFP has the PWS reference for Web-Enabled IUSS Logistics Database Manager as PWS 3.5.6, but on page 21 of the PWS, the Web-Enabled IUSS Logistics Database Manager is paragraph 3.5.5. Please clarify to ensure references in the submitted proposal are correct.	RFP will be revised to reflect the correct PWS reference of 3.5.5.
8	Page 59-60 of the RFP requires the Small Business Plan to be a part of Volume I - Technical/Management Proposal including SF 294/ISRs and page 62 requires the Small Business Plan to be a part of Volume II - Cost Proposal. Page 56 of the RFP states, "No cost (i.e., rates or dollars) information shall appear in the Technical and Management Proposal Volume." Since the Small Business plan and SF 294/ISRs have financial data references, would the Government consider moving all Small Business Plan requirements to Volume II - Cost Proposal?	Please keep the Small Business Plan in the Technical Volume. SF 294/ISRs do not contain what is considered cost proposal information.
9	Section L does not specify if fold-outs (8 ½" X 17" paper when printed) are allowed. Please clarify if fold-outs are allowed in the response. If they are allowed, are they counted as one page or two?	Fold-outs are not permitted in the Technical Volume. The offeror may choose to use fold-outs in the Cost Volume.
10	Page 56 of the RFP specifies "using no smaller than font size 12" and "all figures and text shall be clearly discernable and legible." Will the government consider allowing a font size of less than 12 point for tables and graphics as long as it is clearly discernable and legible?	RFP will be revised to allow 10 point font in tables and graphics.
11	Page 7 of the PWS, paragraph 3.2.1.3.a. states that the contractor shall possess a "Class 'A' shipping certification." We have researched Navy, DoD, and Department of Transportation (DoT) documents and sources and can find no such designation or certification. Request clarification and/or the Government provide the source documentation.	PWS will be revised to remove reference to "Class 'A' shipping certification."
12	Page 9 of the PWS paragraph 3.2.2.1 has two subparagraphs listed as "a." To clarify proposal references, please verify that the second paragraph 3.2.2.1.a should in fact be 3.2.2.1.b.	PWS will be revised to reflect the correct PWS reference to reflect the second 3.2.2.1.a as 3.2.2.1.b.

13	Page 19 of the PWS paragraph 3.4.1 has two subparagraphs listed as "b" followed by subparagraph c. and subparagraph "e." To clarify proposal references, please verify that the subparagraphs should actually be numbered a., b., c., d., and e.	PWS will be revised to reflect the correct numbering under 3.4.1.
14	On page 63, the second paragraph of L-238 (5) of the RFP (also on Page 2 of Amendment 1) states, "While the offeror may propose an alternative labor team or allocation of hours to each labor category, the total hours proposed for both the prime contractor and subcontractors shall equal a total of 52,000 for the base and each option year, as provided below." The requirement to bid total of 52,000 hours does not allow the flexibility of the offeror to bid "an alternative labor team or allocation of hours" as cited in the first paragraph of that section to take advantage of cost reduction initiatives. It also does not allow the offeror the flexibility to bid their DCAA approved billable man-year, which is normally less than 2,080 hours. Please verify that the offeror is allowed to submit an alternative labor team or allocation of hours to each labor category as stated in the first paragraph of that section reducing the total number of hours bid.	The solicitation will be amended to address this question. The offeror may (1) change the labor mix within the 52,000 hrs, (2) propose hours lower than 52,000, (3) but NOT propose hours above 52,000.
15	Page 55 paragraph A states, "Award is planned for FY 2012." Will the government provide a more specific POP for bidding to allow the offeror to more accurately project estimated costs?	For proposal purposes, the anticipated POP for the base year will be from 8 Feb. 2012 to 31 Oct. 2012. The POP for the first option year will run from 1 Nov. 2012 to 31 Oct. 2013. The POP for future options will run for 12 months each after option 1.
16	Page 64 of the RFP lists the requirements of the PM as "Minimum of five (5) years program management experience "and" a bachelor's degree..." but all other Key Personnel requirements state experience "or" a degree, which allows for experience equivalence for the degree. Should the PM requirements also state "or" to allow experience equivalence for the degree?	The PM requirements are as stated "5 years program management experience and a bachelor's degree in business or finance... All other key personnel requirements remain as stated in the Section L.
17	Page 64 of the RFP lists the requirements of the PM as "Minimum of five (5) years program management experience." To ensure compliance, can the program management experience be fulfilled from program management duties while on active duty or does it have to be program management experience in industry?	Program Management experience while on active duty is acceptable.

18	The Government's answer to question #15 states "the base year will be from 8 Feb 2012 to 31 Oct 2012" which is less than a full man-year as indicated in Section L in the Table on pages 63-64 of the RFP. Does the Government want the offeror to prorate the hours for the base year based on the revised POP?	Offerors shall prorate the hours for the base year to accommodate a shorter POP.
----	--	--