

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 20
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 29-May-2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY COMMANDER, SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEMS COMMAND 02 CONTRACTS 4301 PACIFIC HIGHWAY SAN DIEGO CA 92110-3127	CODE N00039	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N00039-15-R-0222	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 06-May-2015	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) Amendment 0002 provides responses to industry questions and incorporates the changes identified on page 2 into the RFP:				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		29-May-2015

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION B - SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES

The following have been added by full text:

The purpose of this amendment is as follows:

1. To update Section B-8, Table 1 removing any reference to the MIDS LVT(3);
2. Update Section B-8, Table 4 – Warranty, to reflect that pricing related to the LVT(3) is not applicable (N/A) to this RFP, and to add a section to contain warranty pricing for BU2 LRUs and SRUs;
3. Revise Section C-2 adding a reference to the (LVT)(12);
4. Correct the document headers in Section J: The Statement of Work (SOW) for MIDS LVT Production is “Attachment A” and the Engineering Services SOW is “Attachment D”;
5. Remove and replace the Appendix A Database Instructions .pdf document and replace it with an Excel spreadsheet version of the same file;
6. Update Table A and Table B of Section J Attachment M, M-DO1, Evaluation Factors for First Delivery Order Award to clarify the Government’s intent to procure BU1 configuration terminals;
7. Provide an updated Attachment A Statement of Work (SOW) for MIDS LVT Production dated May 29, 2015;
8. Update Section L-317 Submission of Proposals to amend the proposal due date from Monday June 8th 2015 at 2:00 p.m. local time, to Tuesday June 23rd 2015 at 2:00 p.m. local time; and
9. Provide answers to contractor questions received.

Question 1: Contractor herein respectfully requests an extension of the due date of the subject proposal, from 8 June 2015 until 22 June 2015. With the inclusion of the BU2 requirements as part of subject request, which is still only in the development phase, <the contractor> believes it is unreasonable to develop an accurate or reliable cost/price baseline from which to respond to a request for proposal. This makes developing a pricing model much more complicated. Because of these factors <the contractor> will require higher levels of internal management review and approval.

Answer 1: Section L-317 Submission of Proposals has been revised to change the proposal due date from Monday June 8th 2015 at 2:00 p.m. local time, to Tuesday June 23rd 2015 at 2:00 p.m. local time.

Question 2: Since there are no CLIN's established in the RFP for BU2 Retrofit Kits please confirm that is not the Government's intention to procure said kits on any resulting contract at this time as part of the BU2 spares CLINs.

Answer 2: At this time, the RFP does not contain BU2 Retrofit requirements. Therefore, the offeror shall not include pricing associated with retrofit kits in their pricing model.

Question 3: During the BU2 development negotiations and subsequent follow up discussions between the Government and <the contractor> the topic of test equipment came up frequently. The Government's perspective was that <the contractor> would be facilitated during the BU2 production RFP phase. Please provide guidance as to how the Government would like <the contractor> to account for the cost of BU2 test equipment as part of our proposal.

Answer 3: The proposed price for all terminals should include all costs associated with the production and delivery of that terminal, to include test equipment if necessary, in accordance with the applicable data list and SOW. Note, per Answer 2, the proposed price for all terminals shall not include costs associated with test equipment for BU2 retrofits.

Question 4: Please provide the configuration of the Lot 16 Estimated Production Quantities defined in Section M, Attachment M-DOI. Are we to assume that the LVT (1) and LVT (2) quantities in Table A and B, are BU1 configuration?

Answer 4: Section M, Attachment M-DOI has been amended to clarify that all quantities in Table A and Table B are BU1 configuration.

Question 5: Please provide direction as there seems to be a conflict with the following sections of the RFP. Are we to include pricing for LVT (3) Terminals in the Section B Supplies or Services and Prices CLIN table? Are we to include pricing for LVT (3) Terminals in the Pricing Structure/Model? If so, do we have to provide pricing by SRU or in total for the Terminal?

a. Section B - Supplies or Services and Prices has CLINS for LVT (3) 1003-5003 and 1006-5006 listed as TBN (pages 2-19).

Answer: There is no conflict as the Government listed the LVT(3) CLINS as TBN: Therefore the Government is not requesting LVT(3) pricing at this time.

b. Section B-4 Prices for MIDS-LCT's and Spares (page 22) does not list these CLINs in the section that describes the pricing structure.

Answer: Correct. The Government is not requesting LVT(3) pricing as part of the pricing model(s).

c. Section B-8 Table 1 MIDS Modules does list the MIDS-LVT (3) and it also refers you back to Section B-4.

Answer: Section B-8 Table 1, the Government has removed LVT(3) from the table.

d. Section L 1.3 Volume 11-Price Proposal states the following (page 125-126)

i. CLINS 1001, 1002, 1004, 1005, 1007, 2001, 2002, 2004, 2005, 2007, 3001, 3002, 3004,3005, 3007,4001,4002,4004, 4005,4007, 5001, 5002, 5004, 5005 and 5007-The offeror shall submit two pricing models one for BU1 and one for BU2 in accordance with SectionB-4, Prices for MIDS-L VTs and Spares. The prices contained in the pricing model shall be in accordance with the data lists at Attachment F. The pricing models shall account for quantities from one (1) to 500.

ii. CLINS 1001, 1002, 1004, 1005, 1007, 2001, 2002, 2004, 2005, 2007, 3001, 3002, 3004, 3005,3007,4001,4002, 4004, 4005, 4007, 5001, 5002, 5004, 5005 and 5007-The offeror shall submit two pricing models one for BU 1 and one for BU2 in accordance with Section B-4, Prices for MIDS-L VTs and Spares. The prices contained in the pricing model shall be in accordance with the data lists at Attachment F. The pricing models shall account for quantities from one (1) to 500.

Answer: The Government notes that the question has duplicated the same paragraph. Neither paragraph references MIDS LVT(3) CLINS.

111. CLINS 1003, 1006,2003, 2006,3003,3006,4003, 4006, 5003, 5006 and 9001- If ordered, will be negotiated

Answer: The Government is not requesting LVT(3) pricing at this time.

Question 6: In the Pricing Structure described in Section B-4 and B-8, are we to include the price of the Warranty in the Excel Pricing Structure/Model that is to be provided?

Answer 6: No, warranty price shall be entered into the Warranty Table (Table 4).

Question 7: We request a 60 day extension to the proposal due date.

Answer 7: Section L-317 Submission of Proposals has been revised to change the proposal due date from Monday June 8th 2015 at 2:00 p.m. local time, to Tuesday June 23rd 2015 at 2:00 p.m. local time.

Question 8: Pursuant to section L; 1.03, the contractor is requesting clarification to the following additional questions:

RFP Section L-317, 1.03(b) states: "Each electronic file shall also be clearly marked to show the proposal volume number, solicitation number and offeror's name."

RFP Section L-317, 1.1 states, "The Offeror's proposal shall be provided in separate Technical, Price and Contract files in accordance with the above table. For electronic proposals, the Offeror shall submit separate files in accordance with the "Volume Content Type Suffix" file names listing cited in the table above."

Question: It appears each volume is not to be submitted as one electronic file but rather as several files based on the suffix name listed in the table (all in PDF or TIFF format except for the Pricing Model).

- The table lists the suffix for the filenames for specific sections of the volumes, but what is the required prefix for those filenames?
Is the filename to be made up of the proposal volume number, solicitation number, and offeror's name followed by the suffix listed in the table or something else?
- In addition, what suffix and file naming conventions should be used for other parts of each volume such as cover page/title page, table of contents, acronym list, etc. that are not listed with a suffix name in the table

Answer 8: Correct, each volume will require individual files to be identified by the prefix and suffix. L-317 Section 1.03, Submission of Electronic Proposals, paragraph (b) states: Each electronic file shall also be clearly marked to show the proposal volume number, solicitation number and offeror's name. The file prefix will consist of: Proposal volume number, solicitation number and offeror's name while the suffix is as specified in the 'Section Suffix' column provided in L-317 Section 1.1. As an example, the first electronic file shall be submitted in the format: V1.N00039-15-R-0222_ContractorX_V1.COMSEC.

Question 9: Contractor would like to request a 60-day extension to the proposal due date – new due date would be 7 August 2015 (1400 PST)

Answer 9: Section L-317 Submission of Proposals has been revised to change the proposal due date from Monday June 8th 2015 at 2:00 p.m. local time, to Tuesday June 23rd 2015 at 2:00 p.m. local time.

Question 10: Contractor would like to request a 7-day extension to the question due date – new due date would be 22 May 2015 (1700 PST)

Answer 10: The question due date is not extended.

Question 11: Contractor's interpretation of the pricing instructions requires two pricing curves (BU1 and BU2) for Lot 16, and ceiling prices only for the other years. Is this assumption correct?

Answer 11: No. Section M, Attachment M-DOI has been amended to clarify that all quantities in Table A and Table B are BU1 configuration. Ceiling prices shall be established as identified in Section L-317, 1.3 Volume II-Price Proposal, second paragraph.

Question 12: Section C-2, LVT(2) family of terminals: LVT(12) should be specified for the Chassis/Harness/Motherboard.

Answer 12: C-2 has been revised to add LVT(12) to Chassis/Harness/Motherboard.

Question 13: Section L, Paragraph 1.3 specifies that the most expensive terminal price shall be entered in the pricing model, but specifies the LVT(11) as the most expensive LVT(2) family terminal. Contractor submits that the most expensive terminal configuration for the LVT(2) family would be the LVT(12) configuration.

Answer 13: Section L, Paragraph 1.3 has been revised to clarify that the offeror shall propose to the LVT(11) configuration for evaluation purposes.

Question 14: Pages 23 and 25, RFP tables 1 and 4 do not distinguish between BU1 and BU2 LRUs & SRUs for pricing purposes. Contractor suggests that newly-developed BU2 SRUs should be given separate lines in these tables.

Answer 14: Table 1, as currently written in the RFP, distinguishes between BU1 and BU2 LRUs and SRUs for pricing purposes and reads as follows: "The offeror will submit two pricing models using the above table; one for the Block Upgrade 1 (BU1) configurations and a second for Block Upgrade 2 (BU2) configurations." Table 4 (Warranty) on Page 25 has been updated to include BU2 LRUs and SRUs as applicable.

Question 15: Contractor suggested that section 3.7.3 of the Production SOW, "Contractor Database", be moved from the Production SOW to a separate CLIN with a separate statement of work for the following reasons:

- a. Contractor views this as a non-recurring task better suited for a separate line item tasks
- b. Contractor believes it is in the best interest of all parties to maintain this online database for customer use beyond the period of performance of the individual ordering periods.

Answer 15:

- a. The database will not be added as a separate CLIN.
- b. The Contractor Database requires the input of repair information. The requirement will cease when the warranty on the last delivered terminal with a warranty expires. The SOW is being updated to clarify the database requirement.

Question 16: Attachment D, SOW section 3.7.3 states: "The database shall meet all the above requirements no later than 6 Months after Contract Award (MACA)."

- a. Contractor estimates a development, test, and implementation schedule that significantly exceeds this timeline, and requests a 12 month extension and/or a phased implementation plan. Delivery would be complete at 18 MACA.
- b. Can contractor assume that the definition of all user fields will be provided at contract award?
- c. Contractor requests that the production SOW be revised to include the maximum number of user seats required for licensing cost purposes.

Answer 16:

- a. The SOW has been revised to state that the database shall meet all the above requirements no later than 12 Months after Contract Award (MACA).
- b. Appendix A Database Instructions have been replaced with an Excel spreadsheet version of the same file, this document contains tabs with field definitions.
- c. The SOW has been revised to state that the database shall provide access to a minimum of 100 active accounts. Note, any applicable licenses are to be identified in Section K IAW DFARS 252.227-7017 and 5252.227-9216 of the proposal.

Question 17: The MIDS LVT Production SOW requires program management support to various working groups (e.g., PRBs, TWGs, ICWGs, etc.), which are funded by the vendor's current on-contract production. Once a vendor is out of production, this PM support is no longer actively funded. Will the requirement to provide continuing support cease in the absence of a current production order?

Answer 17: Program management support shall be provided until the last terminal is delivered under the last delivery order of the contract.

Question 18: Clause C-2 sets a requirement for interchangeability of parts that includes MIDS LVT BU1 terminals. Vendor-to-Vendor interchangeability should apply to BU2 terminals only. Will the Government strike the vendor-to-vendor interchangeability with respect to BU1 terminals?

Answer 18: No.

Question 19: The Section J attachments were mismarked in the -0222 solicitation file and as distributed PDF documents. When opened, the MIDS Engineering Services SOW is actually Attachment B, the MIDS LVT Production SOW is Attachment A, and the Small Business Plan, which will be incorporated upon award, should be renamed Attachment D.

Answer 19: The Production SOW has been renamed Attachment A, the file name and document header for the Engineering Services SOW have been revised from "Attachment A" to read Attachment D. The Small Business Plan will remain Attachment B.

The following have been modified:

B-4

PRICES FOR MIDS-LVT's AND SPARES (APPLICABLE TO CLINS 1001, 1002, 1004, 1005, 1007, 2001, 2002, 2004, 2005, 2007, 3001, 3002, 3004, 3005, 3007, 4001, 4002, 4004, 4005, 4007, 5001, 5002, 5004, 5005 and 5007)

(a) The MIDS-LVT Pricing Structure described herein generates the firm-fixed-prices for all quantities of SRUs, LRUs and fully configured terminals identified in each delivery order over the life of the contract. Per H-5 "Method of Selection for Issuance of Delivery Orders", the contractor may submit price improvements to its Pricing Structure at any time. The Government, however, is not obligated to accept price improvements and incorporate them into the contract.

(b) The Pricing Structure provides individual firm-fixed-prices by applying a learning curve formula with an additional rate curve to account for the potential economies of scale, or small lot sizes, with each delivery order. For each MIDS module listed in Table 1, the Pricing Structure will identify the associated learning and rate curves and the theoretical first unit price adjusted for a rate of one unit (T1R1). The learning curve value represents a combined labor and material slope.

(c) The T1R1 price for each MIDS module includes all material costs, subcontract costs, other direct costs, direct and indirect manufacturing labor costs, direct and indirect engineering labor costs, inclusive of, but not limited to, systems engineering, program management, and configuration and data management activities, other indirect costs in approved Forward Pricing Rate Agreements and profit. Other indirect costs may include General and Administrative costs and Facilities Capital Cost of Money. The Pricing Structure may include escalation.

(d) The number of individual MIDS modules and IAT&C efforts being produced for a particular delivery order will be calculated by adding the quantities of respective modules and IAT&C requirements for MIDS-LVTs and spares. Commonality between LVT(1) and LVT(2) modules will be maximized to achieve economic savings by combining common module requirements. The calculated quantity of MIDS modules to be ordered is entered into the Pricing Structure formula which then produces a firm fixed average unit price for each module **including** IAT&C effort.

The IAT&C requirements for MIDS LVT **shall not** be broken out separately. The average unit price of an SRU module represents the spares purchase unit price for that SRU module. The purchase unit prices for a MIDS-LVT configuration or a spare Main Terminal LRU are calculated by summing the average unit prices for the required SRUs and IAT&C efforts to build the respective MIDS-LVT configuration or spare Main Terminal LRU.

(e) The Pricing Structure will identify the learning curve theory and formula variable definitions in Table 2.

(f) The Contractor's pricing tables, will be used to price all MIDS-LVT and spare LRUs and SRUs ordered under this contract, including all five (5) of the ordering periods, unless the Government issues a request for proposal (RFP) to the Contractor(s) that (a) calls for pricing table improvements or (b) makes changes to the current MIDS LVT requirements.

B-8

TABLE 1

MIDS Modules

Please refer to Section B-4

MIDS Modules	T1R1	Learning Curve	Rate Curve
Chassis/Harness SRU - LVT(1)			*
Internal Power Supply SRU			*
Power Amplifier Interface SRU			*
Voice SRU			*
Tailored Processor/Ground Mux SRU			*
Data Processor/Avionics Mux SRU			*
Signal Message Processor SRU**			*
RTI/Discrettes SRU			*
Receiver/Synthesizer SRU			*
TACAN SRU			*
Exciter/IPF SRU			*
Remote Power Supply LRU			*
HPAG Interface Assembly LRU			*
AC Adapter LRU			*
Chassis/Harness SRU - LVT(2)/(11)			*
Data Processor/Dual ADDSI SRU - LVT(2)/(11)			*
Power Supply Assembly - LVT(2)/(11)			*
Cooling Unit LRU - LVT (2)/(11)			*
Mounting Base LRU - LVT(2)/(11)			*
Interconnect Cables – LVT(2)			*
Interconnect Cables – LVT(11)			*

* The submitted Pricing Models will be incorporated into the contract for the entire five (5) year ordering period. The offeror will submit two pricing models using the above table; one for the Block Upgrade 1 (BU1) configurations and a second for Block Upgrade 2 (BU2) configurations.

**Includes U-TVB CTIC/DS-101 Hybrid.

TABLE 2

Learning Curve

Please refer to Section B-4

Learning Curve Formula	$Y = A(X)^b(Q)^f$	
Learning Curve Theory	Unit or Cumulative Average	*
Variable	Definitions	
Y	*	
A	*	
X	*	
b	Learning Curve Slope	
Q	Delivery Order Quantity	
r	Rate Curve Slope	

*To be completed by the contractor.

NOTE: ALTHOUGH TABLES 1 AND 2 AND THEIR CONTENTS ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE CONTRACT WITH FULL FORCE AND EFFECT, THE DATA CONTAINED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT ARE NOT DISCLOSED IN PUBLICLY DISTRIBUTED COPIES OF THIS CONTRACT DUE TO THE CONTRACTOR-SENSITIVE NATURE OF THE DATA. THE CONTENTS OF TABLES 1 AND 2 ARE CONSIDERED TO BE PROPRIETARY.

TABLE 3
Technical Data and Computer Software Rights

Table 3 – This table identifies the license rights that the Contractor will provide the U.S. Government in the technical data, computer software, and computer software documentation to be delivered under this contract. The following symbol (“—”) under the price column indicates that the U.S. Government is not entitled to purchase the technical data/computer software rights associated with that CDRL. A \$0 (zero) indicates that the rights noted in the table associated with that CDRL will be provided to the U.S. Government at no cost. If any of the technical data or computer software listed below is updated after it has been ordered under the contract, the Contractor shall deliver the same license rights to the updated technical data or computer software as that obtained in the original technical data and software at no additional cost to the Government

CDRL	Description	Technical Data/ Computer Software Rights Classification	Price
A001	Acceptance Test Procedures (Radio Terminal Set)		
A002	Acceptance Test Procedures (LRU)(SRU)		
A003	Production Metrics Report		
A004	Hazardous Material Summary Report		
A005	RESERVED		
A006	Technical Data Package		
A007	Test Procedures (Regression Verification Procedure (RVP))		
A008	Test / Inspection Report (Regression Verification Report (RVR))		
A009	Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) Class I CCB Approvable		

A010	Notice of Revision (NOR)		
A011	Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) Class I PBL		
A012	Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) Class II		
A013	Request For Deviation (RFD)		
A014	Configuration Status Accounting Information (Configuration Management Accounting Report (CMAR))		
A015	As Built Configuration List (ABCL) As-Built Configuration Data Information		
A016	Data Accession List (DAL)		
D001	Technical Report – Study / Services		
D002	Scientific and Technical Reports (Engineering Services Performance Report)		
D003	Contractor’s Progress, Status and Management Report (Quarterly Technical and Cost Report for Engineering Services)		
D004	Conference Agenda		
D005	Conference Minutes		

* If the Government orders data item A006, the Government’s data and software rights in that data item will be in accordance with the DFARS data and software rights clauses in the contract unless the Government and Contractor agree on data and software rights for the Government that are greater than those obtained by the Government via those DFARS clauses.

TABLE 4
WARRANTY

PRICE LIST A: WARRANTY UNIT PRICES					
	LEVEL OF COVERAGE				
	FIRST ORDERING PERIOD	SECOND ORDERING PERIOD	THIRD ORDERING PERIOD	FOURTH ORDERING PERIOD	FIFTH ORDERING PERIOD
<u>ITEM</u>	4 years/ no associated hours				
MIDS-LVT(1) Radio Terminal Set (BU1)					
MIDS-LVT(2) Radio Terminal Set (BU1)					
MIDS-LVT(3) Radio Terminal Set (BU1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MIDS-LVT(1) Radio Terminal Set(BU2)					
MIDS-LVT(2) Radio Terminal Set (BU2)					
MIDS-LVT(3) Radio Terminal Set (BU2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MIDS-LVT(1) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(2) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(3) Receiver-Transmitter LRU	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MIDS-LVT(4) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(6) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(7) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(11) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(12) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					

Chassis/Harness SRU					
Internal Power Supply SRU					
Power Amplifier Interface SRU					
DP/AV MUX SRU					
Signal Message Processor SRU					
Receiver/Synthesizer SRU					
Exciter/IPF SRU					
RTI/Discretes SRU					
TP/GND MUX SRU					
AC Adapter LRU					
Voice SRU					
TACAN SRU					
Remote Power Supply LRU					
High Power Amplifier Group (HPAG) Interface Assembly (HIA) Auxiliary LRU					
MIDS-LVT(11) Radio Terminal Set					
MIDS-LVT(2) Radio Terminal Set					
MIDS-LVT(11) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(2) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
Chassis/Harness SRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
Internal Power Supply (IPS) SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
Power Amplifier SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
Signal Message Processor SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
Receiver/Synthesizer SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
Exciter/IPF SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
RTI/Discretes SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
DP/Dual ADDSI SRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
Voice SRU – LVT(11) only					
Mounting Base Auxiliary LRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
Cooling Unit LRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
PSA LRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
Ancillary Set – LVT(2) only					
Ancillary Set with Voice – LVT(11) only					
BU2 LRUs AND SRUs AS APPLICABLE					
MIDS-LVT(1) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(2) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(3) Receiver-Transmitter LRU	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MIDS-LVT(4) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(6) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(7) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(11) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(12) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
Chassis/Harness SRU					
Internal Power Supply SRU					
Power Amplifier Interface SRU					
DP/AV MUX SRU					

Signal Message Processor SRU					
Receiver/Synthesizer SRU					
Exciter/IPF SRU					
RTI/Discretes SRU					
TP/GND MUX SRU					
AC Adapter LRU					
Voice SRU					
TACAN SRU					
Remote Power Supply LRU					
High Power Amplifier Group (HPAG) Interface Assembly (HIA) Auxiliary LRU					
MIDS-LVT(11) Radio Terminal Set					
MIDS-LVT(2) Radio Terminal Set					
MIDS-LVT(11) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
MIDS-LVT(2) Receiver-Transmitter LRU					
Chassis/Harness SRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
Internal Power Supply (IPS) SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
Power Amplifier SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
Signal Message Processor SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
Receiver/Synthesizer SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
Exciter/IPF SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
RTI/Discretes SRU – LVT(2)/(11) only					
DP/Dual ADDSI SRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
Voice SRU – LVT(11) only					
Mounting Base Auxiliary LRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
Cooling Unit LRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
PSA LRU - LVT(2)/(11) only					
Ancillary Set – LVT(2) only					
Ancillary Set with Voice – LVT(11) only					

SECTION C - DESCRIPTIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS

The following have been modified:

C-2

REQUIREMENT FOR INTERCHANGEABILITY OF PARTS (APPLICABLE TO ALL MIDS LVT LRUs AND SRUs SUPPLIED OR REPAIRED UNDER THIS CONTRACT)

1) Interchangeable LRUs and SRUs

The LRUs and SRUs required to be interchangeable under this contract are the following:

LVT(1) Family of Terminals

LVT (1), (4), (6), (7) LRUs are defined as the following:

Receiver-Transmitter, Radio
Power Supply, known as Remote Power Supply
Interface Unit, known as High-Power Amplifier Group (HPAG) Interface Assembly
AC Adapter

LVT (1), (4), (6), (7), SRUs are defined as the following:

Data Processor/Avionics MUX
Tailored Processor/Ground MUX
Voice Processor
Signal Message Processor
RT Interface/Discretes
Receiver/Synthesizers
Exciter/Interference Protection Features
Power Amplifier/Antenna Interface Unit
TACAN
Internal Power Supply
Chassis/Harness/Motherboard - (LVT(1), (4), (6), (7),

LVT(2) Family of Terminals

LVT(2), (11), (12) LRUs are defined as the following:

Receiver-Transmitter, Radio
Cooler, Air, known as Cooling Unit
Mounting Base
Power Supply Assembly

LVT(2), (11), (12) SRUs are defined as the following:

Data Processor /Dual ADDSI
Chassis/Harness/Motherboard (LVT(2), (11) **and** (12) unique)
Voice Processor (LVT(11) and (12) only)
Signal Message Processor
RT Interface/Discretes
Receiver Synthesizer
Exciter/Interference Protection Features
Power Amplifier/Antenna Interface Unit
Internal Power Supply

2) Interchangeability Definition

For the purposes of this contract, two-way interchangeability is defined as the replacement of any single LRU or SRU from Vendor A's Radio Terminal Set, into Vendors B's Radio Terminal Set, or Vendor B's Radio Terminal Set, into Vendors A's Radio Terminal Set, with no degradation of Radio System, LRU or SRU performance.

3) Vendor to Vendor Interchangeability

(a) All LRUs and SRUs manufactured under any previous SPAWAR awarded MIDS LVT contract shall be two-way interchangeable with the LRUs and SRUs of any other awardees of MIDS LVT production contracts under this solicitation. The exception is that Block Upgrade 2 LRUs and SRU are only required to be interchangeable with

other same BU 2 LRUs and SRUs, for example BU2 SMP with BU2 SMP. The offeror shall be responsible for any and all retrofit activities resulting from contractor demonstration of vendor-to-vendor interchangeability or Government verification of vendor-to-vendor interchangeability.

SECTION J - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

The following have been modified:

SECTION J

SECTION J - LIST OF ATTACHMENTS & EXHIBITS

ATTACHMENT "A"	Statement of Work (SOW) for MIDS LVT Production dated 05/29/15
ATTACHMENT "B"	Small Business Subcontracting Plan (to be incorporated upon award)
ATTACHMENT "C"	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT "D"	Statement of Work (SOW) for MIDS Engineering Services dated 01/29/15
ATTACHMENT "E"	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT "F"	MIDS-LVT Index and Data Lists dated 01/22/2015 applicable to all BU1 and BU2 MIDS-LVT terminals ordered under the contract
ATTACHMENT "G"	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT "H"	DD Form 254, Contract Security Classification Specification of 6 May 2010
ATTACHMENT "I"	Acceptance Test Requirements Matrix for MIDS-LVT (1) dated 03/19/15
ATTACHMENT "J"	Acceptance Test Requirements Matrix for MIDS LVT (2)/(11) dated 03/19/15
ATTACHMENT "K"	Acceptance Test Requirements Matrix for MIDS LVT (3) dated 03/27/15
ATTACHMENT "L"	Relevant Experience Form
ATTACHMENT "M"	M-DO1 dated 05/12/2015
ATTACHMENT "N"	Acceptance Test Requirements Matrix for MIDS LVT Terminals Executing NSIO and NCP Software dated 03/19/15
ATTACHMENT "O"	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT "P"	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT "Q"	NSA TEMPEST Questionnaire for ECPs dated 06/06/11

ATTACHMENT “R”	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT “S”	CDRL General Instructions dated 21 April 2014
ATTACHMENT “T”	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT “U”	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT “V”	RESERVED
ATTACHMENT “W”	NSA Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) Risk Assessment Panel (RAP) Questionnaire
EXHIBIT “A”	CDRLs for MIDS-LVT Production dated 01/29/15
EXHIBIT “D”	CDRLs for MIDS-LVT Engineering Services dated 01/29/15
APPENDIX “A”	Production SOW – Database Instructions Spreadsheet
APPENDIX “B”	Production SOW – Database Instructions

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

L-317 SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS (COMPLEX) (JUL 1999)

1.0 SOLICITATION OVERVIEW

This request for proposal is to award one or more contracts to establish ordering ceiling amounts for MIDS-LVT requirements including operational terminals, spares, engineering, other direct costs and related data deliverables for five one-year ordering periods.

1.01 Receipt of Offers. Proposals are due no later than 2:00PM Pacific Standard Time on: **Tuesday June 23rd 2015**. Block 9 of the Standard Form 33 is intentionally left blank as only electronic proposals will be accepted in response to this solicitation (see paragraph 1.03 below).

1.02 Validity of Proposals. Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall be valid for 180 calendar days from the solicitation closing date.

1.03 Submission of Electronic Proposals

(a) Offerors shall submit their proposals and any questions regarding this RFP electronically to SPAWAR under the instructions contained in this provision. Questions shall be submitted no later than **5:00PM Pacific Standard Time Friday May 15, 2015**. The Government will use its best efforts to respond to offeror questions and comments; however, responses are not guaranteed. Offerors are advised that the Government will make available to the public any offeror questions and comments and the Government's associated responses; therefore offerors shall not provide questions or comments of a proprietary nature. Offerors shall submit their signed proposals as either scanned (“TIFF”) or “PDF” documents except for the pricing model, which shall be submitted in MS Office 2000 Excel compatible format. Electronic copies shall be submitted via the SPAWAR E-Commerce Central (SPAWAR E-CC). Offerors submitting electronic proposals (e-Proposals) shall register in the SPAWAR E-CC and select their own password in order to submit a proposal and any questions regarding this RFP. Offerors are required to read the “Submitting a Proposal?” web page found in the SPAWAR E-CC. For information about “e-Proposal” submission,

please visit the SPAWAR E-CC. The URL for the SPAWAR E-Commerce Central is <https://e-commerce.spawar.navy.mil>.

(b) Each electronic file shall also be clearly marked to show the proposal volume number, solicitation number and offeror's name. E-Proposal and question files shall not contain classified data. The offeror's e-proposal shall be in accordance with the requirements set forth below:

(1) Adobe Acrobat version 8.1.4 or greater shall be used to create the "PDF" files.

(2) The proposal submission files may be compressed (zipped) into one, self-extracting file entitled "PROPOSAL.EXE" using WinZip version 11.2 or greater.

(3) Cost or Pricing Type Data: All information relating to cost and pricing type data shall be included only in the section of the proposal designated by the Contracting Officer as the Price Proposal. Under no circumstances shall cost and pricing type data be included elsewhere in the proposal. Paragraph cross-referencing between Price Proposal paragraphs and technical/management proposal paragraphs is requested to provide clarity.

(c) Proposals submitted electronically will be considered "late" unless the bidder or offeror completes the entire transmission of the bid or proposal prior to the due date and time for receipt of proposals. This paragraph (c) supplements the submission, modification and withdrawal of proposals coverage in the FAR 52.215-1 "Instructions to Offerors--Competitive Acquisition" provision contained in this solicitation.

1.1 PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

Proposals submitted in response to this RFP shall be UNCLASSIFIED and shall consist of three (3) Volumes identified as follows:

Volume I: Technical Proposal

Volume II: Price Proposal

Volume III: Contract Documents

Proposal Format. In addition to all other requirements of this solicitation, each Offeror shall demonstrate its capability by means of a detailed written proposal in each of the areas indicated under Section M – Evaluation Factors for Award. Proposals submitted for consideration for award shall address the full scope of the solicitation.

VOLUME	SECTION L PARAGRAPH	PAGE LIMIT	SECTION SUFFIX
I. TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		Cover sheet, table of contents, copies of certificates/approvals/letters and abbreviation/acronym list will not be counted for page limitation.	
Factor 1-Communications Security (COMSEC) Requirements	1.2.1	5 pages	V1.COMSEC
Factor 2-MIDS-LVT Terminal Maturity	1.2.2	10 pages	V1.LVTMAT
Factor 3-Past Performance	1.2.3	Nine pages. Three (3) total per reference, including Relevant Experience Form (Exclusive of CPARS evaluations, if provided)	V1.PAST
Factor 4 -Small Business Commitment	1.2.4	Ten (10) pages total (excluding SF 294s, copies of binding agreement, enforceable commitments, and letters of intent)	V1.SBC
II. PRICE PROPOSAL	1.3	No page limit	V2.PRICE
III. CONTRACT	1.4		V3. CONTRACT

DOCUMENTS			
Section A: Letter of Transmittal, Completed Standard Form (SF) 33, and Completed RFP Sections B - K	1.4.1	No page limit	V3.LETTER
Section B: Security Clearance Levels	1.4.2	No page limit	V3.SECURITY
Section C: Organizational Conflict of Interest Mitigation Plan(s)	1.4.3	No page limit	V3.OCI
Section D: Small Business Subcontracting Plan	1.4.4	No page limit	V3.SB
Section E: Foreign Owned Subcontractors	1.4.5	No page limit	V3.FOREIGN
Section F: Accounting System and Compliances	1.4.6	No page limit	V4.ACCTSYS

The Offeror's proposal shall be provided in separate Technical, Price and Contract files in accordance with the above table. For electronic proposals, the Offeror shall submit separate files in accordance with the "Volume Content Type Suffix" file names listing cited in the table above. Failure to submit complete information in the manner above may be considered a "no response" and may result in the exclusion of the proposal from further consideration.

A page is defined as a sheet of paper that includes information. When information is contained on both sides of a single sheet of paper it will be counted as two pages and be numbered accordingly. Information submitted in excess of the page limits established above will not be read or evaluated. Page limits do not include cover sheets, tables of contents, traceability matrix(es), lists of figures, lists of drawings, lists of proprietary data, glossaries, tabs, dividers, or entire blank pages. All proposal material must be contained within the page limit(s) identified for each volume, unless otherwise specified. Partial pages count as a full page. All pages within a page limited volume shall be consecutively numbered, starting at page one, and shall not exceed the page limitation. Each page shall contain a footer that includes, as a minimum, the Offeror's name, RFP number, volume number, page number and, if applicable, restrictive legend (see FAR 52.215-1(e)(2)).

Format: Type size shall be Times New Roman and no smaller than 12 point in the text and 10 point in spreadsheets. The offeror's electronic version of their proposal shall be prepared on standard 8 ½" by 11" paper, single spaced, with 1" minimum margins excluding header and footer. For drawings, graphics, figures, and tables Arial 6 point font is acceptable. Foldouts may be used, but shall be no larger than 11" by 17", shall be printed on one side only, and shall count as two pages. For the Relevant Experience Form, Section J, Attachment L, type size shall be 8 point font on form, and 12 point font in any additional pages. The volumes shall contain a glossary of abbreviations and acronyms used and an explanation of each. No pen and ink changes are allowed.

Numbering: Pages shall be numbered consecutively within each section to indicate the volume, section, and page. For example, Page 19 of Volume I, Section 3 would be numbered I-3-19. Pages in the Price Proposal volume shall be numbered consecutively within each section to indicate the volume, section and page.

Proposal Content:

An offeror's proposal is presumed to represent to offeror's best efforts to respond to the solicitation. Proposals submitted for consideration for award must address the full scope of the solicitation. Proposals which address only part or portions of the solicitation will be considered unacceptable. Non-conformance may cause rejection of, or the down grading of, the proposal. Proposals should be clear, concise and complete. Organization, clarity, accuracy of information, relevance, and completeness are of prime importance.

Proposals shall correlate directly and sequentially with the following specific proposal preparation instructions. Proposals shall be complete and self-sufficient, relate exactly to what is requested and proposed, and strictly adhere to the requirements of this solicitation. Offerors shall provide separate responses to each technical evaluation factor within the identified page limitations. Offerors shall not exceed the page limitation by cross-referencing other

sections of their proposals. Use of documentation by reference, and not incorporated into the proposal, will not be allowed. Where cross-referencing is used, the volume, attachment, exhibit and paragraph numbers, as appropriate, shall be referenced.

1.2 VOLUME I: TECHNICAL PROPOSAL:

The technical proposal shall be specific, detailed, complete, and fully demonstrate that the prospective Offeror has a thorough understanding of the Government's requirements. The offeror must provide sufficient detail to substantiate the validity of all stated claims. Statements that the offeror understands, can or will comply with all specifications, statements paraphrasing the specifications or parts thereof, and phrases such as "standard procedures will be employed" or "well known techniques will be used," etc., are insufficient. The following information shall be furnished:

1.2.1- FACTOR 1- COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) REQUIREMENTS: In order to be considered for award, the offeror must present a clear, convincing, and low risk plan for successfully complying with the requirements of Section H-44, Communications Security (COMSEC) Requirements. Failure to provide such a plan will render the offeror **ineligible** for award.

1.2.2- FACTOR 2- MIDS-LVT TERMINAL MATURITY: The Offeror shall address terminal maturity for "MIDS-LVT(1)" BU1 configurations and "MIDS-LVT(2)" BU1 configurations. Applicable configurations identified below:

MIDS-LVT(1) BU1 configurations include:

- MIDS-LVT(1) (AN/USQ-140(V)1(C))
- MIDS-LVT(4) (AN/USQ-140(V)4(C))
- MIDS-LVT(6) (AN/USQ-140(V)6(C))
- MIDS-LVT(7) (AN/USQ-140(V)7(C))

MIDS-LVT(2) BU1 configurations include:

- MIDS-LVT(2) (AN/USQ-140(V)2(C))
- MIDS-LVT(11) (AN/USQ-140(V)11(C))

For the above listed MIDS-LVT(1) and (2) BU1 configurations, the offeror shall provide evidence to the Government that demonstrates the current level of maturity. This evidence may consist of, but is not limited to, Government qualification letters, summaries of Government sponsored or company funded MIDS-LVT qualification testing, results of MIDS-LVT prototyping efforts, and design and analysis data or formal plans to complete or initiate development and qualification of a terminal that meets the MIDS-LVT requirements. If an offeror does not currently have a Government-qualified terminal that meets the MIDS-LVT requirements, the offeror's proposal must include a MIDS-LVT qualification approach for at least one of the MIDS-LVT(1) or MIDS-LVT(2) BU1 configurations in order to be considered for award.

1.2.3- FACTOR 3- PAST PERFORMANCE

Offeror's shall provide information up to three (3) Relevant Experience Forms, Attachment L, for contracts/orders performed in the last five (5) years, which may include those for primes and proposed subcontractors. The maximum number of pages per reference is three (3) including the Relevant Experience Form, for a total of nine (9) pages for this factor. This total page limit does not include the associated Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) data. The Offeror shall list and provide contact information for the Procuring Contracting Officer for each relevant contract. The Offeror shall ensure that the above-requested government points of contact are current, accurate and complete. The Offeror shall define and describe how each reference is relevant to this effort. Offerors shall list the customer(s) and number of years of experience as well as the size and scope of past contracting efforts to include the dollar value. The Government does not assume the duty to search for data to cure any problems it finds in the past performance information provided by the Offeror – the burden of providing thorough and complete relevant past performance information remains with the Offeror.

The Offeror shall also submit the most recent CPARS evaluations supporting each of the Relevant Experience Forms submitted. This factor relates to the quality as well as the relevancy and recency of the contractor's past performance. Relevant and Recent Past Performance is defined as experience in the previous five (5) years that demonstrates experience that is similar in technical scope, magnitude and complexity to the tasking described in the Production SOW.

The Government reserves the right to use past performance information obtained from sources other than those identified by the offeror to evaluate an Offeror's past performance.

1.2.4- FACTOR 4- SMALL BUSINESS COMMITMENT

Large Business Offerors shall discuss their approach to ensuring that at least 30% of the subcontracted amount of their proposal represents awards to Small Business Concerns consistent with the following desired SPAWAR Subcontracting Goals:

Small Business Categories	SPAWAR Subcontracting Goals (Based on % of subcontracted amount)
Total Small Business (includes the below)	30%
Small Disadvantaged Business	5%
Woman-Owned Small Business	5%
HUBZone	3%
VOSB	3%
SDVOSB	3%

Large Business Offerors shall describe the principal types of services to be subcontracted and how this approach provides meaningful work to each proposed Small Business subcontractor. For each small business subcontractor proposed, Offerors shall provide their cage code for verification of their size status.

Large Business Offerors shall also describe the extent to which their company has previously met small business subcontracting goals on other contracts/orders **and** the extent to which the offeror timely paid its small business subcontractors. Where available, the two (2) most recently filed Individual Subcontractor Reports (ISRs), for each Prime contract listed in Factor 3 Past Performance shall be submitted. If ISRs are unavailable, Offerors may submit other documentation to establish their record of Small Business goal achievement. ISRs for proposed subcontractor experiences listed in Factor 3 are not required. If, historically, the offeror has not met/exceeded small business goals on relevant contracts, the Offeror shall provide an explanation addressing what actions were taken or will be taken to meet/exceed the stated target percentages in the performance the requirements of this solicitation. Offeror shall submit a copy of any DCMA Subcontracting Compliance Rating letters.

1.3 VOLUME II- PRICE PROPOSAL

CLINS 1001, 1002, 1004, 1005, 1007, 2001, 2002, 2004, 2005, 2007, 3001, 3002, 3004, 3005, 3007, 4001, 4002, 4004, 4005, 4007, 5001, 5002, 5004, 5005 and 5007-The offeror shall submit two pricing models one for BU1 and one for BU2 in accordance with Section B-4, Prices for MIDS-LVTs and Spares. The prices contained in the pricing model shall be in accordance with the data lists at Attachment F. The pricing models shall account for quantities from one (1) to 500.

CLINS 1001,1002, 1004, 1005, 2001, 2002, 2004, 2005, 3001, 3002, 3004, 3005, 4001, 4002, 4004, 4005, 5001, 5002, 5004 and 5005- The Government has provided estimated quantities. **The offeror shall enter the price from the pricing model for the (LVT(1) and LVT (11)**, including warranty, and multiply that price by the estimated quantity in order to establish the contract ceiling for that CLIN. The estimated quantity for each CLIN reflects the Government's best estimate of MIDS LVTs to be procured during the CLINs ordering period. The Government does not guarantee that it will order the items and quantities.

CLINS 1007, 1009, 1010, 1011, 2007, 2009, 2010, 2011, 3007, 3009, 3010, 3011, 4007, 4009, 4010, 4011, 5007, 5009, 5010 and 5011-The Government has provided estimated contract ceiling amounts for ordering purposes. ODC CLINs 1011, 2011, 3011, 4011 and 5011 amounts are non-fee bearing and are all inclusive (include contractor burdens such as General & Administrative expense, etc.) The offerors shall **not change** the ceiling amounts.

CLINS 1003, 1006, 2003, 2006, 3003, 3006, 4003, 4006, 5003, 5006 and 9001- If ordered, will be negotiated.

The offeror shall include the U-TVB CTIC/DS-101 Hybrid (CDH Chip) in its Signal Message Processor (SMP) pricing structure. In accordance with Section H-26, the U-TVB CTIC/DS-101 Hybrid will be provided by the National Security Agency (NSA) for all foreign military sales (FMS). Therefore, the offeror shall provide in its proposal a separate, fixed amount for the CDH chip. The Government will subtract this fixed amount for the CDH chip from the price of any FMS terminal ordered.

The successful offeror's or offerors' proposed pricing structure(s) will be utilized for the first delivery order(s) quantities in Attachment M-D01 and any delivery order(s) issued thereafter, to price MIDS-LVT terminal and spares requirements, unless the offeror or offerors subsequently offer improvements to the pricing model. The offeror shall submit a supporting narrative that details the assumptions and formulas used in the development of the pricing models in a clear manner. The offeror shall provide instructions and guidance on how to use its pricing model and it shall be submitted in a MS Office 2000 Excel compatible format, with formulas intact.

Please note that offerors shall provide separate warranty prices for each element in Price List A of Section B. These warranty prices will be applicable for all ordering periods unless the offeror or offerors subsequently offer improvements to the warranty pricing for subsequent ordering periods. Individual MIDS-LVTs and Spares may be ordered with or without the warranty.

1.4 VOLUME III CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

This volume is the Offeror's contractual commitment, complete in every respect and ready for acceptance by the Government.

1.4.1- SECTION A – COVER LETTER, SIGNATURE PAGE (SF33), COMPLETED SECTIONS B-K

The offeror's proposal shall include a cover letter on the offeror's letterhead stationery and signed by an executive of the company who possesses authority to contractually bind the offeror. The cover letter shall acknowledge receipt of all amendments (if any are issued) to the RFP. The submittal letter shall identify all enclosures being transmitted as part of the response to the RFP. The letter shall reference the RFP number and acknowledge that it transmits an offer in response to the RFP. It shall state: (1) Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) number, (2) Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number, (3) Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN), (4) address(es) of the location(s) at which the offeror intends to perform the proposed effort, (5) state the name, address and telephone number of the cognizant DCAA audit office, (6) the name, address and telephone number of the cognizant Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) office, and (7) a statement that the proposal is valid for no less than 180 calendar days after the date established for receipt of offers.

If the Offeror takes any exceptions to solicitation, those exceptions shall be provided in the cover letter in Volume III. The Offeror shall provide a full explanation for all exceptions taken to the solicitation. Each exception shall be referenced to the applicable paragraph or contract line item number. Any material exceptions to the RFP may render the proposal unacceptable and ineligible for award on initial proposals.

The offeror's proposal shall provide a completed RFP to include completed SF33 and Sections B – K.

1.4.2- SECTION B – SECURITY CLEARANCE LEVELS

Offerors must document that facilities and personnel proposed to perform this contract and requiring access to classified material as required by the contract possess at a minimum the security clearance levels required by the RFP (DD Form 254).

1.4.3- SECTION C – ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST (OCI) MITIGATION PLAN(S)

The Offeror shall submit draft versions of any required OCI Mitigation Plans, consistent with the guidance contained in the "Organizational Conflict of Interest" section in Section L.

1.4.4- SECTION D – SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN

Offerors, unless otherwise exempt, due to being a small business concern or a company performing outside of any State, territory, or possession of the United States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, shall, in accordance with FAR 19.7 and FAR 52.219-9, submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan (or approved comprehensive plan), as part of Volume III. Failure to submit and negotiate a subcontracting plan acceptable to the Contracting Officer may make the offer ineligible for award of a contract.

1.4.5- SECTION E – FOREIGN OWNED SUBCONTRACTORS

The proposed Offeror shall identify any and all potential foreign-owned subcontractors they intend to team with for the procurement in the following format:

Name of Subcontractor:

Country of Origin:

Date of executed Technical Assistance Agreement (TAA):

The Offeror shall provide a copy of the executed TAA within the Contracts Volume. In the event that a TAA is not in place at the time of proposal submission, the Offeror shall provide a copy of a draft TAA for Government review. The Offeror shall ensure that the TAA will be in place prior to the award of the contract. The Offeror shall ensure all applicable subcontractor flow down clauses are complied with by the all subcontractors, including foreign-owned.

1.4.6- SECTION F – ACCOUNTING SYSTEM AND COMPLIANCES

The contract resulting from this solicitation will contain DFARS 252.242-7006 - Accounting System Administration, applicable to future cost reimbursement orders and to firm fixed price orders with progress payments made on the basis of costs incurred under FAR 52.232-16. For the purposes of these clauses, the Offeror shall provide any available documentation pertinent to the adequacy of the Offeror's accounting system. Eligibility for future cost reimbursement orders will be determined at the time that the Government

(End of provision)

(End of Summary of Changes)