

Item	Issue/Comment	Question	Response
1	<p>#29 The Hosted Virtual Desktop (HVD) volumes are locked and cannot be modified for the ES only proposal.</p> <p>The Government's response (#129) was "The Government intends to conduct additional evaluation of this comment".</p>	<p>Is the Government's evaluation complete? Does the Government still intend to comment on this issue?</p>	<p>The Government has completed its evaluation and the HVD volumes will remain locked and cannot be modified for ES only proposals. Pricing instructions are found in the amended Section L and the amended J-7 (Pricing Format).</p>
2		<p>We will be making a hand delivery on 18 July. There will be a truck with a lift gate and a pallet with 50 boxes weighing approximately 3000 pounds. I wanted to ask you if there is a certain entrance we need to use, to confirm you have a loading dock, to see if you care if the boxes are sealed or not, to ask if you need any advance information about the truck or the driver, etc.</p>	<p>The Government is still reviewing this requirement and will provide further guidance.</p>
3		<p>Specifications on Row 49 require a minimum 15.4" display size, however Row 50 requires a minimum 14" up to 15.4" Display. Recommend the Government remove the requirement in Row 49 for the Standard Laptop in order to reduce cost and increase competition.</p>	<p>Attachment J-17, released in amendment 0011, has been updated to reflect this recommendation.</p>
4		<p>Offeror recommends reducing your 90 watt battery requirement to 58 watt hours in order to reduce both the weight and cost of adding an external battery to meet the current requirement.</p>	<p>Attachment J-17, released in amendment 0011, has been updated to reflect a minimum battery rating of 60 watt hours.</p>

Item	Issue/Comment	Question	Response
5		<p>The RFP PWS in paragraph 1. at line 45-46 explicitly states "This contract includes only the services to support mobile cellular devices. The devices are provided as GFP." Attachment 17 in the minimum performance specification for the Battery of the Tablet PC at line 20 states "Built-in 42.5-watt-hour rechargeable lithium-polymer battery; Up to 10 hours of surfing the web on Wi-Fi, watching video, or listening to music; Up to 9 hours of surfing the web using cellular data network." At line 103 there is a statement for the wireless requirement as follows: "Provide and enable wireless capability compliant with 802.11,b,g,n, ac (2014 and beyond)". It is not possible to meet the last part of the battery life requirement unless the tablet has a cellular capability, which is contrary to the cited PWS and Attachment 17 line 103 statements. Which is correct, and if cellular performance is required, what are the technical specifications for this performance?</p>	<p>Attachment J-17 has been updated to reflect changes to cellular requirements.</p>
6		<p>Related to the above question, we believe the entire description for the Tablet PC battery requirement was copied verbatim from an Apple iPad specification sheet, including the battery type, capacity, and performance language. Given that the remaining Tablet PC specifications appear to be for a Tablet PC Convertible type of product, rather than the iPad, we believe this specification to be inappropriate. For example, Tablet PC Convertible devices usually have a lithium ion battery rather than the more expensive lithium polymer device specified. The primary application of lithium polymer batteries in ultra-thin devices where form factor is an issue. Can the Government clarify this specification?</p>	<p>Attachment J-17 has been updated to reflect changes to battery requirements.</p>
7		<p>Ruggedized Laptop specifications require both the 4GB and 8GB RAM. Can you please specify which should be quoted, the 4GB or 8 GB?</p>	<p>Attachment J-17, released in amendment 0011, has been updated to reflect 4 GB RAM.</p>
8		<p>Specifications on Row 49 require a minimum 15.4" display size, however Row 50 requires a minimum 14" up to 15.4" Display. Recommend the Government remove the requirement in Row 49 for the Ruggedized Laptop in order to reduce cost and increase competition.</p>	<p>Attachment J-17, released in amendment 0011, has been updated to reflect this recommendation.</p>
9		<p>Tablet specifications require both the 4GB and 8GB RAM. Can you please specify which should be quoted, the 4GB or 8 GB?</p>	<p>Attachment J-17, released in amendment 0011, has been updated to 4 GB RAM.</p>

Item	Issue/Comment	Question	Response
10		A keyboard with integrated CAC reader is required on each laptop. Does the government require a keyboard with integrated CAC reader on each of the desktop configurations listed in the Desktop Combined worksheet of Attachment 17 as well?	Yes, Attachment J-17, released in amendment 0011, has been updated to reflect a keyboard with an integrated CAC reader on Desktops.
11		Recommend changing the minimum of (3) internal Hard Disk Drive Bays to (2) internal Hard Disk Drive Bays to reduce costs and increase competition. The additional drive bay is unnecessary for the amount of total storage requested.	The requirement has been updated to (2) Internal Hard Disk Drive Bays in Attachment 17 which was released in Amendment 0011.
12		Recommend changing the minimum of (3) internal Hard Disk Drive Bays to (2) internal Hard Disk Drive Bays to reduce costs and increase competition. The additional drive bay is unnecessary for the amount of total storage requested.	The requirement has been updated to (2) Internal Hard Disk Drive Bays in Attachment 17 which was released in Amendment 0011.
13		Offeror recommends removing the requirement for the integrated DVD+/-RW DL write compatible multi drive and accompanying drivers. This is not part of a normal thin client configuration and would affect the Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) rate.	Attachment J-17, released in amendment 0011, has been updated to reflect this recommendation.
14		Offeror recommends modifying the storage/Non-Operating Temperature from 14° to 140° F (-10° to 60° C) to reduce costs and increase competition.	Attachment J-17, released in amendment 0011, has been updated to reflect this recommendation.
15		Offeror recommends modifying the weight requirement to 4.5lb to reduce costs and increase competition.	Attachment J-17, released in Amendment 0011, has been updated to remove the weight requirement for VDI.
16		Offeror recommends modifying the dimensions requirement to 8.8 x 2.1 x 9.8 in. to reduce costs and increase competition.	Attachment J-17, released in Amendment 0011, has been updated to remove the dimension requirement for VDI.
17	DFAR clause 252.215-7003 Excessive Pass-Through Charges - Identification of Subcontract Effort was removed from the DFARS on 8/16/2010. Please remove this requirement from the solicitation as it is no longer required by the DFAR.		DFAR clause 252.215-7003 Excessive Pass-Through Charges - Identification of Subcontract Effort has been removed from the contract. This change will be reflected in a forthcoming amendment.
18	The first change on page 2 of Amendment 0005 states in the Summary of Change column, "Exhibit A Amendment: CDRLs A001 through A059". We are unable to locate these new CDRLs A058 and A059. Please provide these documents to the offerors.		CDRL A059 was released in Amendment A0009 and A058 was released in Amendment A0011.

Item	Issue/Comment	Question	Response
19	<p>There appears to be an error on the MSL Line 229. It notes - Office Communications Server Enterprise CAL (Device &amp; User) (OfficeCommsSvrStd CAL ALNG SA MVL DvcCAL) which indicates an inconsistency. It is inconsistent as it shows two different versions of Office Communications Server, both Enterprise and Standard CALs - the description upfront being Enterprise CAL and the title in parenthesis indicating Standard CAL.</p> <p>I believe this should actually be Standard as that is the version that the Navy owns today. This line entry in that case however, is in error as it is duplicative of Line 208 Desktop Professional which includes Core CAL which in turn includes the Office Communications Server Standard CAL.</p>		Deleted the Microsoft App Name: Office Communications Server Enterprise CAL (Device & User) (OfficeCommsSvrStd CAL ALNG SA MVL DvcCAL) from Tab A.
20		<p>The Change Page for PWS section 3.6.2.d.iv changed the statement that "The Government provides feedback on the following documents submitted as part of the proposals to assist the Contractors in developing and submitting the applicable CDRLs to the Government for review and approval 60 days after contract award . . . iv. Detailed Phase-in Project Plans for <b>Project 2</b>"</p> <p>Section L, Table L-14-2-Volume Page Limitations, for 1.2, Transition directs offerors to submit "Detailed Phase-In Plans for <b>Projects 1 &amp; 2</b>"</p> <p>Please clarify. Are offerors required to submit a Detailed Phase-In Plan for Project 1 as part of their proposal submissions?</p>	Yes, Offerors are required to submit a Detailed Phase-In Plan for Project 1 as part of their proposal submissions. Detailed Phase-In Plans for Project 1 are now due 90 days after contract award. The Detailed Phase-In Plans for Project 2 remains at 60 days after contract award. This change was released in Amendment 0010.
21	<p>Request that Navy delete the (Amendment 9) H-10 added language and replace with the following to better clarify the intent of the language, which as currently written could be construed to mean that all CLIN 5000 orders under NGEN for the Incumbent would not be eligible to Share in Savings: Replace Amendment 9 H-10 Shared Savings language with:</p> <p>The CoSC incumbent may not receive savings under this clause for NGEN proposals and task orders which are the result task/delivery orders initiated under the COSC contract.</p>		H-10 will be modified slightly and will be released in a forthcoming amendment.

Item	Issue/Comment	Question	Response
22	<p>In the latest amendment, released on 6/27, we noticed a significant change in Attachment 17 Workstation Minimum Requirements Rev6.xlsx. The DoN added a compatible Blue Ray player to all devices. This is a signification change and was not outlined in the change pages nor was there any Q&amp;A around this item. Captain Hendricks instructed offerors to notify the DoN regarding any specifications above the requirements in COSC that would drive significant price increases in NGEN. This change is an increase in requirements and at a late stage in the procurement. It will result in substantial increases in price proposals. We recommend the Navy remove this requirement.</p>		<p>The Blu-Ray requirement has been removed from Attachment J-17 and will be released in a forthcoming amendment.</p>
23	<p>We are requesting clarification to determine site serviceability and /or construction cost to provide an accurate quote, as well as, transition plan and methodology. Some locations are NOT listed on the NGEN Navy Site Schedule, but are located on the SDS List F-4 – Naval Recruiting Sites.</p>	<p>Is there a different "Master Site List", or Revisions made and not updated due to system issues as stated on the SPAWAR site?</p>	<p>An update to attachment J-10 will be posted via future amendment.</p>